DEBORAH S. REAMES (State Bar No. 117257) 1 Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund 426 17th Street, 5th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 510-550-6725; Fax: 510-550-6749 3 Attorneys for all Plaintiffs 4 ALAN M. RAMO (State Bar No. 063425) HELEN H. KANG (State Bar No. 124730) 5 Environmental Law & Justice Clinic Golden Gate University School of Law 536 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 415.442.6693: Fax: 415.896.2450 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Communities for a Better Environment 8 and Our Children's Earth Foundation 9 RICHARD T. DRURY (State Bar No. 163559) 10 Communities for a Better Environment 1611 Telegraph Avenue, Suite 450 11 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 510.302.0430; Fax: 510.302.0438 Attorney for Plaintiff Communities for a Better Environment 13 MARC S. CHYTILO (State Bar No. 132742) Post Office Box 92233 14 Santa Barbara, CA 93190 Tel: 805.682.0585; Fax: 805.682.2379 15 Attorney for Plaintiff Transportation Solutions Defense & Education Fund 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18) Case No. C-01-0750 TEH BAYVIEW HUNTERS POINT COMMUNITY 19 ADVOCATES, COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER) ENVIRONMENT, LATINO ISSUES FORUM, 20 OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION, [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR SIERRA CLUB, TRANSPORTATION 21 **VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH** SOLUTIONS DEFENSE AND EDUCATION PREJUDICE OF CLAIMS AGAINST FUND, and URBAN HABITAT PROGRAM, a 22 DEFENDANT CITY AND COUNTY project of the TIDES CENTER, OF SAN FRANCISCO Plaintiffs, 23 VS. 24 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL 25 RAILWAY, and ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT. 26 Defendants. 27 28

[Proposed] Order For Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice Of Claims Against Defendant

San Francisco Municipal Railway (C-01-0750 THE)

Upon the stipulation of Plaintiffs Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates,

Communities for a Better Environment, Latino Issues Forum, Our Children's Earth Foundation,

Sierra Club, Transportation Solutions Defense and Education Fund, and Urban Habitat Program

(collectively "Bayview Advocates") and Defendant City and County of San Francisco (sued as San Francisco Municipal Railway) ("MUNI"), and for good cause showing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Bayview Advocates' claims against MUNI are hereby fully dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the stipulation of these Parties and in light of their May ____, 2002 Settlement Agreement (attached hereto).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement attached hereto is incorporated herein for the purpose of retaining the Court's jurisdiction to enforce said Agreement.

The Clerk is directed to enter this Order for Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice forthwith.

Dated:

THE HONORABLE THELTON E. HENDERSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") is made this _____ day of April, 2002, by and between Plaintiffs Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, Communities for a Better Environment, Latino Issues Forum, Sierra Club, Transportation Solutions Defense and Education Fund, Urban Habitat Program, and Our Children's Earth Foundation (collectively "Bayview Advocates") and the City and County of San Francisco ("MUNI"). Bayview Advocates and MUNI are sometimes collectively referred to in this Agreement as the "Parties."

RECITALS

- A. Bayview Advocates filed a Complaint on February 21, 2001, alleging that the Metropolitan Transportation Commission ("MTC"), MUNI and the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District ("AC Transit") (collectively "Defendants") have violated the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §7401, et. seq.
- B. Bayview Advocates allege in their Complaint that Defendants failed to implement Transportation Control Measure 2 ("TCM 2"), originally adopted in the 1982 Bay Area Air Quality Plan and retained in the region's current plan for attaining the national standards set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for ozone pollution.
- C. In an Order entered November 9, 2001 (the "Order"), the Court ruled that TCM 2 requires MTC, MUNI and the other five major transit operators to increase regional transit ridership by 15% from fiscal year ("FY") 1982/83 levels in the San Francisco Bay Area ("Bay Area") within five years. The Court further found Defendants liable for failing to achieve the requisite 15% increase.
- D. MUNI's best estimate of its actual ridership for FY 1982/83 is 264 million boardings (not including paratransit ridership).

- E. According to the most recent ridership statistics reported to the Federal Transit Administration, MUNI's ridership in FY 2000/2001 was 234.9 million boardings (not including paratransit ridership).
- F. Bayview Advocates and MUNI agree that avoiding continued litigation of this matter through settlement is in the public interest and therefore enter into this Settlement Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the terms, conditions and covenants herein set forth, the Parties agree as follows:

- Parties Bound. The provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon the undersigned Parties to this action, and any of their officers, directors, agents, and employees, who may be acting in concert or participation with them, whether or not such person has notice of this Settlement Agreement, and on any successors in interest to the Parties.
- 2. Vision Plan Projects. MUNI has issued a document entitled A Vision for Rapid Transit in San Francisco ("Vision Plan"). Among other things, the Vision Plan contains projects that could substantially increase ridership above current levels. Of the following list of projects, which have been taken from the Vision Plan, MUNI estimates that projects (a) through (p) could be completed within five (5) years after initial funding, provided that adequate funding is programmed to complete the project and there is not significant public opposition to the project. MUNI estimates that projects (q) through (t) could not be completed within five (5) years after funding. MUNI further estimates that projects (a) through (j) and (k)(1) could be complete by November 2006 given prompt, adequate funding and provided that there is not significant public opposition to the project.
 - a) Electrification of 47-Van Ness
 - b) Electrification of 9-San Bruno
 - c) Transit Preferential Street ("TPS") treatments for J-Church from Duboce Portal to 30th Street

1	d)	TPS treatments for K-Ingleside from St. Francis Circle to Green Terminal
2	e)	TPS treatments for L-Taraval from West Portal to
4	f)	Wawona/46 th Avenue TPS treatments for M-Ocean View from St. Francis
3	1)	Circle to Green Terminal
	g)	TPS treatments for N-Judah from Duboce Portal to
4		La Playa
5	h)	TPS treatments on Fillmore
J	i)	TPS treatments on Market Street from Castro to the Embarcadero
6	j)	TPS treatments from Stockton/Market to the
	J/	Presidio through North Beach and the Marina
7	k)	(1)TPS treatments on Geneva and (2) Ocean from
8		Junipero Serra to Bayshore/Sunnydale, including
. 0		exclusive Right of Way ("ROW") for K
9	1)	TPS treatments on 19-Polk route to Hunters Point
	m)	Terminal loop for historic E-line on the Embarcadero
10	n)	BRT on Folsom from the Embarcadero to 16 th
		Street
11	0)	BRT on Potrero and TPS on San Bruno
12	p)	BRT on Geary from Pacific Ocean to Van Ness, and
1-	(a)	TPS to Transbay Terminal BRT on Van Ness and TPS on Mission
13	q) r)	BRT on 19 th Avenue and Park Presidio from
	• /	Stonestown to Lake
14	s)	Electrification of 19-Polk
15	t)	Bus Rapid Transit ("BRT") on 16 th Street, including
1.5		electrification on 16 th Street
16	3. TCM 2 Chapter. MUNI shall prepare a document ("TCM 2 Chapter"),	
17	which shall be adopted as an amendment to its Short-Range Transit Plan ("SRTP"), and	
18	which shall include, for each of the projects listed in Paragraph 2 above, the following:	
19	a.	A detailed description of the specific improvements
20	for each corridor or line at the same or greater level	
21		of detail contained in the Vision Plan;
22	b.	The current ridership (in annual unlinked passenger
23	trips) on the corridor or line on which such	
24		improvements are to be made;
25	c.	A calculation, using generally accepted
26	·	methodologies, of the projected increase in
27		ridership by November 9, 2006, or by such other
28		

- date by which the project shall be operational (as determined by the timeline in paragraph 3(d)), for each corridor or line, both with and without implementation of the proposed improvements;
- d. A timeline for implementation of corridor or line
 improvements expressed in terms of the total
 months necessary for each phase of implementation
 to full operation;
- e. The estimated cost for each project, including projections for both capital expenditures and annual operating costs:
- f. An analysis of the demographics, using U.S. census categories, including race, ethnic background.

 national origin and income, of the riders served by the corridors or lines to be improved by each project.
- 4. **Implementation of Projects; Funding.** Consistent with its priorities, MUNI agrees to implement every project listed in Paragraph 2 (a) (p) that is promptly and adequately funded, provided there is not significant public opposition to the project.
- 5. **SRTP Amendment.** By no later than December 1, 2002, MUNI shall adopt the TCM 2 Chapter as an amendment to its SRTP ("SRTP Amendment"), after providing an opportunity for written comment and holding a public hearing. Within 30 days of the adoption of the SRTP Amendment, but in no event later than December 31, 2002, MUNI shall submit the SRTP Amendment to MTC for funding consideration.
- 6. **Prioritization of Projects.** MUNI retains sole authority to prioritize its funding requests in the SRTP. However, MUNI agrees to make a good faith effort to secure funding from MTC for the projects specified in the TCM 2 Chapter, in accordance

with MUNI's funding priorities, which are established according to the Project Criteria listed in MUNI's SRTP (see Chapter VII [Capital Improvement Program] of MUNI's 2002-2021 SRTP). MUNI agrees to rank the TCM 2 Chapter projects for funding in accordance with the Project Criteria in the SRTP, and will give consideration to the ridership impact of each project, as set forth in the TCM 2 Chapter. The Parties prefer that funding for the projects come from funds that are not programmed for current MUNI projects or projects that are prioritized higher by MUNI than the projects in the TCM 2 Chapter..

- Public Meeting. MUNI agrees to conduct a public meeting in the Bayview Hunters Point community within 60 days of the date of this Settlement Agreement for the purpose of identifying potential improvements to the 54-Feiton, 29-Sunset, 44-O'Shaughnessy and 23-Monterey lines. The meeting shall be held within Bayview Hunters Point on an evening or Saturday, with 30 days' advance notice to the community, and specifically to counsel for Bayview Advocates. Within 30 days following the meeting, MUNI shall meet with representatives of Bayview Advocates and their counsel to describe the projects and improvements identified at the public meeting and discuss the feasibility of each as a ridership increase measure to be included in MUNI's SRTP. MUNI shall address each such measure identified as feasible in the SRTP Amendment.
- 8. Reports to Plaintiffs. In order for Bayview Advocates to monitor MUNI's compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, MUNI agrees to notify Bayview Advocates, through their attorney representatives as set forth in Paragraph 13, within 30 days of their fulfillment of certain obligations required by this Settlement Agreement, including: (1) the adoption of the amendment incorporating the TCM 2 Chapter into the SRTP; and (2) the submission of the SRTP Amendment to MTC for funding consideration. Additionally, MUNI shall notify Bayview Advocates when any TCM 2 Chapter project receives a funding commitment. On an annual basis, Bayview

Helen H. Kang

Advocates may request a report on the status of funding and implementation on each of the projects. Within 30 days after receipt of such a request, MUNI shall submit a status report to Bayview Advocates.

- 9. **Full and Final Settlement.** This Settlement Agreement is in full and final settlement of the allegations in the Complaint against MUNI.
- 10. Attorneys' Fees. In full resolution of all of Bayview Advocates' claims for attorneys' fees and costs, MUNI shall pay Bayview Advocates the total amount of \$75,000. A check for that amount shall be made payable to Earthjustice and delivered to the attention of Deborah S. Reames at the address set forth in Paragraph 13 within 45 days of entry of the Court's Order for Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice of Claims Against City and County of San Francisco. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed to affect Bayview Advocates' entitlement to attorneys' fees under the Clean Air Act in connection with future actions to enforce this Settlement Agreement.
- 11. **Waiver of Penalties.** Bayview Advocates hereby waive their claim for the assessment of civil penalties against MUNI, and release MUNI from all liability for attorneys fees except as set forth in Paragraph 10 above.
- 12. **No Admission of Liability.** By entering into this Settlement Agreement, MUNI is in no way admitting any liability for the allegations set forth by Bayview Advocates in the Complaint.
- 13. **Notices.** Except as specified otherwise herein, when written notification, communication, or submission is required by any party to this Settlement Agreement, it shall be addressed as follows:

As to the Plaintiffs:

Deborah S. Reames Earthjustice Oakland Regional Office 426 17th Street, 5th Floor Oakland, CA 94612

28

Environmental Law & Justice Clinic Golden Gate University School Of Law 536 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2968

Richard T. Drury Communities For A Better Environment 1611 Telegraph Ave., Suite 450 Oakland, CA 94612

Marc S. Chytilo Law Office of Marc Chytilo PO Box 92233 Santa Barbara, CA 93190

As to MUNI:

Donald P. Margolis Robin M. Reitzes Deputy City Attorneys San Francisco City Attorney's Office 1390 Market St., 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102

- 14. **No Warranty.** Bayview Advocates do not, by their consent to this Settlement Agreement, warrant or aver in any manner that MUNI's complete compliance with this Settlement Agreement shall result in compliance with the Clean Air Act, except with respect to the violations alleged in the Complaint.
- 15. Limited Release. Except as provided below in this paragraph, and except for any claim or action to enforce the obligations contained in this Settlement Agreement, Bayview Advocates agree, for the term of this Agreement, not to bring any action against the City and County of San Francisco, and its departments and agencies (including MUNI) and employees, based on any and all claims, actions, causes of action, liabilities, damages, demands, attorneys' fees, expenses and costs (including without limitation court costs) of any kind or nature whatsoever, which arises out of TCM 2. Bayview Advocates do not waive any rights or remedies available to them for any violation by MUNI of Federal or State laws, regulations or permit conditions other than those civil violations covered by this Settlement Agreement and alleged in the Complaint.
 - 16. United States Not Bound. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be

Submission of Agreement to Department of Justice. The Parties agree 17. and acknowledge that after lodging this Settlement Agreement with the Court, but prior to entry, Bayview Advocates shall submit this Proposed Order and Settlement Agreement to the United States Department of Justice, Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Legislation and Special Litigation Section for review and comment in writing by the United States (including Region IX of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) for a 45-day review period, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(c)(3). In so agreeing, MUNI does not concede that 42 U.S.C. § 7604(c)(3) – which by its terms applies only to "consent judgments" - applies to this settlement, which is not a consent judgment or consent decree. The Parties reserve the right to withdraw consent to this Settlement Agreement if the United States notifies the Court in writing that it opposes this Settlement Agreement. The Parties agree not to oppose this Settlement Agreement or to withdraw consent to any provision of this Settlement Agreement unless the United States notifies the Court in writing that it opposes this Settlement Agreement. The Parties hereby agree that this Court may enter this Proposed Order and Settlement Agreement without further consent from either party. After the 45-day period required by 42 U.S.C. § 7604(c)(3) has expired, any party to this Settlement Agreement may file an unopposed request that the Court enter this Settlement Agreement. The Parties hereby agree that after the 45-day period required by 42 U.S.C. § 7604(c)(3) has expired, this Court may enter the Proposed Order for Voluntary Dismissal, with attached Settlement Agreement (the "Dismissal") without further consent of either party. Bayview Advocates will notify the court when the 45 days have elapsed; should it fail to do so, MUNI may file an unopposed request that the Court enter the Dismissal.

18. Retention of Jurisdiction to Enforce Agreement. The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the Court for incorporation into an Order for Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice of this lawsuit and that the Court shall retain

25

26

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

jurisdiction over these proceedings for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Plaintiffs shall notify the Court when all of the obligations set forth in this Settlement Agreement have been fulfilled and the Court's jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement shall terminate at that time

- 19. Baseline Ridership for 1982-1983. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the parties acknowledge that MUNI's best estimate of its actual ridership for FY 1982-83 was 264 million boardings, and that this figure will be used as the baseline figure for 1982-83.
- 20. Enforcement Provisions. Upon any alleged violation of the terms of this Settlement Agreement. Bayview Advocates shall notify MUNI in writing of the nature and scope of the alleged violation. Within 14 calendar days of receipt of such written notice, MUNI shall respond in writing. Counsel shall thereafter meet and confer within 30 calendar days after such response in an effort to resolve the issue(s). Should any issues remain after the Parties have met and conferred. Bavview Advocates may seek to enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement before this Court. In the event of a breach of this Settlement Agreement, the Court shall have the power to enforce this Agreement. Should Bayview Advocates prevail in an action to enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement, the Court may award Bayview Advocates reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in that action.
- 21. Term of Agreement. The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall terminate as of February 9, 2007. If there is a motion to enforce this Settlement Agreement pending as of February 9, 2007, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to adjudicate said motion and to enforce any resulting order. .
- 22. Entire Agreement. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties, and it is expressly understood and agreed that this Settlement Agreement may not be altered, amended, modified, or otherwise changed in any respect without a writing duly executed by the Parties or their authorized

representatives. The Parties acknowledge and agree that none of them shall make any		
claim that this Settlement Agreement has been orally altered or orally modified in any		
respect.		
23. Rule of Construction. This Settlement Agreement is the product of arms-		
length negotiations between the Parties and their respective attorneys. Each of the Parties		
expressly acknowledges and agrees that this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to		
have been mutually prepared so that the rule of construction to the effect that ambiguities		
are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not be employed in the interpretation of		
this Settlement Agreement.		
24. Counterparts. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or		
more counterparts, and each executed copy shall be deemed to be an original, which shall		
be binding upon the signatories hereto.		
25. Authority of Parties. Each undersigned representative of a party to this		
Scttlement Agreement certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms		
and conditions of this Settlement Agreement and to execute and legally bind such party to		
this document.		
IN WITNESS THEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Settlement		
Agreement as of the date first set forth above.		
Dated: May 16, 2002 By: Win 3Kall		
As Its: LR-DIRGETOR		
For BAYVIEW HUNTERS POINT COMMUNITY		
ADVOCATES		
Dated: By:		
As Its:		
For COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER		
ENVIRONMENT		

1	Dated:	Ву:
2	·	As Its:
3		For SIERRA CLUB
4	Dated:	Ву:
5		As Its:
6 7		For LATINO ISSUES FORUM
8	Dated:	By:
9		As Its:
10		For TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS
11		DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND
12	Dated:	By:
13		As Its:
14		For URBAN HABITAT PROGRAM, a project of the TIDES CENTER
15		the Tides Center
16 17	Dated:	By:
18		As Its:
19		For OUR CHILDREN'S EARTH FOUNDATION
20		
21	Dated: 05/15/02	By: Muhal J. Sum
22	/ /	As Its: Director of Transportation
23		For THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
24		FRANCISCO
25		
26		
27		